IS CLIMATE CHANGE DRIVING YELLOW-CEDAR DECLINE ON HAIDA GWAII?

Vanessa Comeau and Lori Daniels – The Tree-Ring Lab at UBC, Faculty of Forestry, University of British Columbia, Vancouver

BACKGROUND

- Yellow-cedar decline is widespread along coastal BC and Alaska and recently became apparent on Haida Gwaii
- The driving factor of this decline is thought to be climatic warming, which has reduced snowpack and exposed fine roots to freezing damage
- This proposed mechanism may not adequately explain the decline in Haida Gwaii due to the temperate climate and ephemeral snowpack
- This research uses dendrochronology to investigate climate as a driver of decline
- Determining the cause of this decline is the first step to resilience







QUESTIONS

- In targeted forests exhibiting decline, what proportion of yellow-cedar are live (healthy), declining or dead?
- Which yellow-cedar are declining and why?
- Does growth near the outer rings of live, declining and dead yellow-cedar differ?
- How did inter-annual and multi-decadal climate variation in the 20th century influence radial growth of yellow-cedar?
- Can this decline be mitigated through management?



132°0'W

RESEARCH APPROACH

- Targeted areas exhibiting yellow-cedar decline on Graham Island, Haida Gwaii
- Census of all yellow-cedar trees (DBH ≥10cm) in 100 x 20m transects at 15 sites (1016 trees)
- Increment cores taken from 15 healthy live and 15 declining/dead yellow-cedars at each site (450 trees)



MOST YELLOW-CEDAR DEAD OR DECLINING





DECLINE ACROSS SIZE AND AGE

Dead

46.5%



Live

26.1%

Declining

27.5%

DIAMETER AT BREAST HEIGHT

- DBH range: 10 to 140 cm
- across all size classes
- Similar distributions of size for live, declining and dead trees

AGE ESTIMATES

- Age range: 29 to 908 years
- Live, declining and dead trees present across all ages
- Declining and dead trees were not oldest (reaching lifespan) or youngest (self-
- thinning)

DECLINE NOT DUE TO COMPETITION



- DENSITY (trees/ha)
- Proportion of declining and dead trees does not increase with density
- No evidence of densitydependent mortality



- DBH (cm)
- Mean DBH of live, declining and dead trees were similar
- Declining and dead trees were not the smallest
- No evidence of selfthinning

 1016 trees sampled at 15 targeted sites exhibiting yellow-cedar decline

• 74% of yellow-cedar were declining or dead 59 to 86% of trees were declining or dead per site • 14 to 41% of trees were live, healthy per site

- Live, declining and dead trees present

- More dead overstory trees and fewer live and declining understory trees than expected by chance
- Opposite to patterns expected due to competition and self-thinning

Subset of 357 trees (live:208, declining:80, dead:69)



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

DEATH AND CESSATION OF RADIAL GROWTH

At targeted sites, high proportions of declining and dead trees Trees of all ages and sizes are affected, with greater impacts on overstory trees, but not due to competition and self-thinning Trees of all status classes show suppression near the outer rings Warm temperatures facilitated increasing growth in the 20th century Decline in growth associated with low winter precipitation (snow) and warm temperatures, is consistent with the drivers of decline in Alaska Improved understanding of snow distribution and persistence in Haida Gwaii is needed to guide management